# **BELGRADE WASTE PPP**

Design-Build-Finance-Operate of Modern Waste Management Infrastructure in an Emerging Market

> SMi 13<sup>th</sup> Energy from Waste Conference

> > December 1st, 2020



ITOCHU



# **Project Background: Serbia and Belgrade**

- 7.2 m population, of which **1.7m in Belgrade greater** 0 **area** (40% of national GDP)
- EU candidate country with on-going accession 0 negotiations (currently 18/34 chapters opened, 2 closed, special chapter on relations with Kosovo being problematic)
- Upper middle-income country, **GDP per capita 2019**: 0 \$7,020 [source World Bank]
- City of Belgrade rated Ba3 by Moody's → below 0 investment grade



/TOCH

# Why a Waste Management PPP in Belgrade ?

- Project site: Vinča dump, 15 km from city center **42 ha in operation since 1977** 700,000+ tons/year
- On ISWA's list of the world's 50 largest active dumpsites. **120 scavengers** living on/from the dumpsite
- No containment of pollution with substantial impact on air, soil and Danube water quality
- Fires in May-June 2017 increased the general awareness that the issue must be addressed





GMI 13th Energy from Waste Conference - Belgrade Waste PPP









### Why a Waste Management PPP in Belgrade ? City's Objectives and Challenges

- Close Vinča dumpsite and ensure long-term aftercare
- Develop a closed-loop waste management solution based on thermal treatment
- Substitute waste heat for natural gas in district heating system
- Tap into private sector's expertise and finance for cost efficiency and long-term performance
- Achieve an affordable solution for end-users

- Landfill remediation is **not commercially viable**
- Manage the risks of pollution legacy
- Achieve a flexible system with enough headroom for MSW recycling in the future
- Avoid reliance on third parties without long term commitment (eg. RDF to cement kilns)
- Develop a bankable Design-Build-Finance Operate scheme with City as sole counterparty
- Baseline household charge (2019) for waste collection + treatment: 2.9€/mth (0.4% of budget)

# **Shaping Waste PPP using Competitive Dialogue**

- EfW PPPs are complex projects involving multiple revenues sources as well as some technological risk.
- Complexity further increases when **combining EfW with a landfill and remediation** components
- Like many transition economies, Serbia is a jurisdiction with **limited PPP background** and practice
- **Public procurement** with **competitive dialogue** suits well the challenges of developing a bankable PPP project
  - City secured PPP transaction advisory services from IFC (World Bank Group) throughout the procedure
  - **5 consortia prequalified** in November 2015
  - > 4 competitive dialogue meetings with each bidder to develop a bankable allocation of risks
  - > Multiple iterations of PPP Contract and key project documents
  - Bid submission in July 2017, with lowest availability payment offered as main tender criteria
  - > PPP Contract signed in September 2017 between City and SPV formed by SUEZ, Itochu and Marguerite)
  - > Overall 2-year process



# Achieving an Affordable and Flexible Waste Treatment Mix



December 1st, 2020

10

suez

/TOGH

# **Project Facilities**





### **Project Timeline**





# **PPP Contract - Allocation of Key Risks**

- SPV's fixed costs (financing and operation) are covered by a **fixed availability fee in EUR** : no forex risk, no volume risk, no third-party waste. Variable costs (operation) are covered by a **variable fee per ton**
- City's payments (60% of project revenues) are funded by a new earmarked **waste treatment charge** levied from residents and businesses and transferred to an escrow account
- LCV risk is mitigated by the flexibility of EfW combustion diagram (from 340kt @8.5 MJ/kg to 385 kt @7,5 MJ/kg) and waste availability (total waste envelope is about 510 ktpa)
- Feed-in tariff of 85.7 €/MWh guaranteed under **12-year PPA with national power company EPS**
- Heat offtake volume and price of 30 €/MWh are guaranteed under 25-year take-or-pay Heat Offtake Agreement
- Urban Plan to be updated by City but all other **construction and environmental permits to secured by SPV**
- **Tentative financing conditions by IFIs set at bid stage** with an upside/downside sharing mechanism on Financial Close depending on the final loan conditions
- Ground Contamination, Protestor Actions and Change in Law are **Compensation Events**
- Bankable regime of compensation on Termination with 90% of Senior Debt repaid in case of SPV default





### **SPV Revenue Structure**





suez

/TOCHW

### **Investments and Financing Plan**

#### • Total design and construction capex: € 310m

- EfW, New Landfills, Leachate Plant, Biogas Recovery Facility, CDW facility, Remediation of Vinča Dumpsite
- Total funding requirement (including capitalized interests): € 373m
- O Equity: € 93m
  - SUEZ (40%), Itochu (40%), Marguerite Fund (20%)
  - > Financed through **Equity Bridge Loans during construction** for IRR optimization and bid competitiveness
  - Covered by MIGA political risk insurance (currency inconvertibility and transfer restriction, expropriation, war, civil disturbance, arbitral award default)

#### • Non-recourse senior loans from IFIs: € 280m

- A-loan EBRD, IFC, OeEB 18 years door-to-door (including subsidized tranches from EBRD's Green Energy Special Fund (GESF) and the Canada-IFC Blended Climate Finance Program)
- B-loan Unicredit, Erste Bank 15 years door-to-door



# **End-User Cost and Affordability**

#### • Key affordability drivers

- EfW capacity downsized to the max capacity for a single-line design to optimize capex (588 €/ton of capacity)
- CHP operation to maximize energy recovery
- > 12-year feed-in tariff for electricity @85.7 €/MWh
- > 25-year guaranteed heat offtake @30 €/MWh
- EfW energy revenues equivalent to approx. 70 €/ton processed
- > Direct landfilling of waste in excess of EfW capacity, no pre-treatment
- High gearing 75/25
- Competitive EPC and O&M packages
- Annual SPV turnover (from start of full operation) is 63 M€ o/w 38 M€ (60%) paid by City.
- Increase of waste tax for average household from 2.90 €/month (current, without project impact) to
  6.20 €/month (from start of full operations) still within 1% of household budget.

SPV Revenue stream - m€	2023
	1 <sup>st</sup> year of full services
Electricity Revenue	18,1
Heat Revenue	5,9
Other Third Party Revenues	0,9
City Base Payment	38,1
Total Revenues	63,0
City Base Payment	38,1
- Fix part	33,3
- Variable part	4,8



### **Belgrade PPP Project – A Replicable Model**

Long-term financing in countries below investment grade is available from IFIs

Equity can be protected from political risk using e.g. MIGA

DBFO model brings comfort to IFIs that assets are optimized for the complete lifecycle and will be operated efficiently (vs the usual DB model)

Dumpsite remediation can be funded in a DBFO project subject to **suitable contractual protection** for pollution legacy Set priorities and keep flexibility for future development, e.g. landfilling in compliance with EU standards is a clear progress vs "do-nothing scenario".

suez

/TOCHN

#### Energy-from-Waste Facility, November 2020

ecember 1st, 202

SMi 13th Energy from Waste Conference - Belgrade Waste PPP







No. of Street, or other



# Thank you for your attention - visit us at www.bcenergy.rs !







